#### Estimation of nonlinear models with Dynare

stephane.adjemian@univ-lemans.fr

September, 2023

#### Introduction

- ▶ Full information estimation of models approximated at higher order...
- Or, in principle, full information estimation of nonlinear models.
- Cannot use the (linear) Kalman filter anymore.
- Dynare provides routines to evaluate the likelihood of models approximated at order k ≥ 1.

#### The reduced form model

$$s_t = f(s_{t-1}, \varepsilon_t; \theta)$$
  
 $y_t = g(s_t; \theta) + e_t$ 

▶ *f*(.) and *g*(.) are the state and measurement equations.

▶  $\theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  a vector of *m* parameters.

- Cannot use the (linear) Kalman filter anymore.
- $\triangleright$  s<sub>t</sub> and y<sub>t</sub> are the vectors of state variables and observed variables.
- Innovations  $\varepsilon_t$  and  $e_t$  are the structural shocks and measurement errors.

• 
$$\#(y_t) = \#(e_t)$$

#### Reduced form with second order approximation

► The "state" equations:

$$egin{aligned} s_t &= ar{s}(m{ heta}) + g_u(m{ heta}) \hat{s}_{t-1} + g_u(m{ heta}) arepsilon_t \ &+ 0.5 g_{\sigma\sigma}(m{ heta}) \ &+ 0.5 g_{yy}(m{ heta}) \left( \hat{s}_{t-1} \otimes \hat{s}_{t-1} 
ight) \ &+ 0.5 g_{uu}(m{ heta}) \left( arepsilon_t \otimes arepsilon_t 
ight) \ &+ 0.5 g_{uy}(m{ heta}) \left( arepsilon_t \otimes arepsilon_t 
ight) \ &+ 0.5 g_{uy}(m{ heta}) \left( \hat{s}_{t-1} \otimes arepsilon_t 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

► The measurement equations:

$$y_t = Zs_t + e_t$$

where Z is a selection matrix.

#### Properties of the state space model

•  $s_t \sim$  first order Markov process:

$$p(s_t|s_{0:t-1}) = p(s_t|s_{t-1})$$

Observations are conditionally independent:

$$p(y_t|y_{1:t-1}, s_{0:t}) = p(y_t|s_t)$$

⇒ We cannot evaluate the likelihood, product of  $p(y_t|y_{1:t-1})$  densities, without tracking the state variables.

### Nonlinear filter (I)

Suppose  $p(y_{t-1}|s_{t-1})$  is known  $\longrightarrow$  How to compute  $p(y_t|s_t)$ ?

First we can predict the states in t given information in t - 1:

$$p(s_t|y_{1:t-1}) = \int p(s_t|s_{t-1})p(s_{t-1}|y_{1:t-1}) \,\mathrm{d}s_{t-1} \tag{1}$$

where  $p(s_t|s_{t-1})$  is defined by the state equations:

$$p(s_t|s_{t-1}) = \int p(s_t|s_{t-1},\varepsilon_t) p(\varepsilon_t|s_{t-1}) d\varepsilon_t$$
$$= \int p(s_t|s_{t-1},\varepsilon_t) p(\varepsilon_t) d\varepsilon_t$$

# Nonlinear filter (II)

▶ p(s<sub>t</sub>|y<sub>1:t-1</sub>) can be interpreted as our prior belief about the state variables.

Use Bayes theorem to update our beliefs:

$$p(s_t|y_{1:t}) = \frac{p(y_t|s_t) p(s_t|y_{1:t-1})}{p(y_t|y_{1:t-1})}$$
(2)

where:

$$p(y_t|y_{1:t-1}) = \int p(y_t|s_t) p(s_t|y_{1:t-1}) \,\mathrm{d}s_t$$

which is the conditional density required to evaluate the likelihood of the model.

▶  $p(y_t|s_t)$ , likelihood of  $s_t$ , is defined by the measurement equations.

 $\Rightarrow$  Iterate over (1) and (2).

### Approximated nonlinear filter (I)

Approximate the distribution of  $s_t | y_{1:t}$ 

Suppose the distribution of s<sub>t</sub>|y<sub>1:t</sub> can be accurately approximated by a set of nodes and weights {(s<sub>t</sub><sup>i</sup>, ω<sub>t</sub><sup>i</sup>)}<sub>i=1</sub><sup>N</sup>, with non negative weights summing up to one.

$$\Rightarrow \text{ When } N \to \infty \sum_{i=1}^{N} \omega_i h(s_t^i) \text{ converges to } \mathbb{E}_{p(s_t|y_{1:t})}[h(s)].$$

Can be used to approximate the predictive densities:

$$\widehat{p}(s_t|y_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} p(s_t|s_{t-1}^i) \omega_{t-1}^i$$

▶ Particles  $\leftarrow$  random deviates from  $s_t | y_{1:t}$ .

• How to sample from 
$$s_t | y_{1:t}$$
?

#### Approximated nonlinear filter (II)

Importance sampling (a)

Suppose we can sample from  $q(s_t|y_{1:t})$  but not from  $q(s_t|y_{1:t})$ .

► Then:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{p(s_t|y_{1:t})}\left[h(s)\right] &= \int \underbrace{\frac{p\left(s_t|y_{1:t}\right)}{q\left(s_t|y_{1:t}\right)}}_{\widetilde{w}_t(s_t)} q\left(s_t|y_{1:t}\right) h(s_t) \mathrm{d}s_t \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{q(s_t|y_{1:t})}\left[\widetilde{\omega}_t(s_t) h(s_t)\right] \end{split}$$

► By the Bayes theorem:

$$p(s_t|y_{1:t}) = rac{p(y_{1:t}|s_t) p(s_t)}{p(y_{1:t})}$$

Unormalized weights:

$$\widehat{\omega}_{t}(s_{t}) = \frac{p\left(y_{1:t}|s_{t}\right)p\left(s_{t}\right)}{q\left(s_{t}|y_{1:t}\right)} = p\left(y_{1:t}\right)\widetilde{\omega}_{t}(s_{t})$$

### Approximated nonlinear filter (II)

Importance sampling (b)

With particles:

$$\hat{\omega}_{t}^{i} = \frac{p\left(y_{1:t}|s_{t}^{i}\right)p\left(s_{t}^{i}\right)}{q\left(s_{t}^{i}|y_{1:t}\right)}$$

Then

$$\widehat{\mathbb{E}}_{\rho(s_t|y_{1:t})}[h(s)] = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widetilde{\omega}_i h(s_t^i)$$

where

$$\widetilde{\omega}_i = \frac{\widehat{\omega}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \widehat{\omega}_i}$$

### Approximated nonlinear filter (III)

Sequential importance sampling

Let's pick a proposal q such that:

$$q(s_t|y_{1:t}) = q(s_t|s_{t-1}, y_t) q(s_{t-1}|y_{1:t-1})$$

Then, one can show that:

$$\hat{w}_t(s_t) = \hat{w}_{t-1}(s_{t-1}) rac{p(y_t|s_t) \, p(s_t|s_{t-1})}{q(s_t|s_{t-1}, y_t)}$$

A particle's current weight depends on its previous level and an incremental weight. This increment will put more weight on a particle if she is more likely with respect to its history and the current observation.

### Approximated nonlinear filter (III)

Generic particle filter algorithm

1: 
$$\{s_0^i, w_0^i\}_{i=1}^N \leftarrow \text{initial sample of particles.}$$
  
2: for  $t \leftarrow 1, T$  do  
3: for all  $i \leftarrow 1, N$  do  
4:  $\tilde{s}_t^i \leftarrow q\left(s_t | s_{t-1}^i, y_t\right)$   
5:  $\hat{w}_t^i \leftarrow w_{t-1}^i \frac{p(y_t | \tilde{s}_t^i) p(\tilde{s}_t^i | s_{t-1}^i)}{q(\tilde{s}_t^i | s_{t-1}^i, y_t)}$   
6: end for  
7:  $\tilde{w}_t^i \leftarrow \frac{\hat{w}_t^i}{\sum_{i=1}^N \hat{w}_t^i}$   
8:  $\{s_t^i, w_t^i\}_{i=1}^N \leftarrow \{\tilde{s}_t^i, \tilde{w}_t^i\}_{i=1}^N$   
9: end for

- Weights degenerate as t goes to infinity...
- ▶ Up to the point where all but one particles have zero weights.
- We don't want to sum-up a distribution with a single point.
- $\Rightarrow$  Resampling (kill particles with low weights and replicate good particles).

## Approximated nonlinear filter (IV)

Particle filter algorithm with resampling

1: 
$$\{s_0^i, w_0^i\}_{i=1}^N \leftarrow \text{ initial sample of particles.}$$
  
2: for  $t \leftarrow 1, T$  do  
3: for all  $i \leftarrow 1, N$  do  
4:  $\tilde{s}_t^i \leftarrow q(s_t|s_{t-1}^i, y_t)$   
5:  $\hat{w}_t^i \leftarrow w_{t-1}^i \frac{p(y_t|\tilde{s}_t^i|s_{t-1}^i)}{q(\tilde{s}_t^i|s_{t-1}^i, y_t)}$   
6: end for  
7:  $\tilde{w}_t^i \leftarrow \frac{\hat{w}_t^i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \hat{w}_t^i}$   
8:  $N_t^\star \leftarrow \left(\sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{w}_t^i)^2\right)^{-1}$   
9: if  $N_t^\star < \alpha N$  then  
10:  $\{s_t^i, w_t^i\}_{i=1}^N \leftarrow \text{Resampling step, with } w_t^i = 1/N$   
11: else  
12:  $\{s_t^i, w_t^i\}_{i=1}^N \leftarrow \{\tilde{s}_t^i, \tilde{w}_t^i\}_{i=1}^N$   
13: end if  
14: end for

### Set the proposal distribution

The blind proposal

$$q\left(s_{t}|s_{t-1},y_{t}\right)=p\left(s_{t}|s_{t-1}\right)$$

Does not use current observation.

Weights recursive expression simplifies into:

$$\widehat{\omega}_{t}\left(s_{t}
ight)\propto\widetilde{\omega}_{t-1}\left(s_{t-1}
ight)p\left(y_{t}|s_{t}
ight)$$

Conditional density of observation is:

$$p(y_t|\tilde{s}_t^{j}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |R|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y_t - g(\tilde{s}_t^{j};\theta))'R^{-1}(y_t - g(\tilde{s}_t^{j};\theta))}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  Measurement errors are mandatory!

#### Issues 2 and 3 Likelihood

$$p(y_{1:T}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) = p(y_1|s_0;\boldsymbol{\theta}) p(s_0|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \prod_{t=2}^{T} p(y_t|y_{1:t-1};\boldsymbol{\theta})$$
$$\approx \sum_{i=1}^{N} \widetilde{\omega}_{t-1}^{i} p(y_t|s_t^{i};\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

- 1. How to choose the initial distribution for the states  $(s_0)$ ?
- 2. Non differentiability of the likelihhod because of the resampling step.

#### Estimation with particle filter

Do not use gradient based methods to estimate the mode...

- Simplex "works", but still issues with the hessian at the estimated mode.
- Better to run directly the MCMC.
- ► Slice? SMC...
- Do we really need particle (or nonlinear) filters?